

EVALUATION

TRAC 2004 – Designing Environments to Support Children’s Learning Unit

Date: _____

Location: _____

Please check the number(s) for each lettered statement that best describes your reaction.

1. Not applicable to me.										
2. What I learned has doubtful utility in my setting.										
3. Understood, but I knew this already.										
4. I don't recall that this was presented.										
5. Presented, but I didn't understand it.										
6. Learned something.										
7. Learned something I fully intend to use.										
8. Intend to try to convince others of the merits of this.										
									A.	Information on the relationship between Developmentally Appropriate Practice and using an environmentally based curriculum.
									B.	Information on the use of interest areas.
									C.	Information on setting up and using the Blocks Interest Area.
									D.	Information on setting up and using the Dramatic Play/ House Corner Interest Area.
									E.	Information on setting up and using the Art Interest Area.
									F.	Information on setting up and using the Sand and Water Interest Area.
									G.	Information on instructional planning.
									H.	Information on how to use assessment to determine effective teaching strategies.
									I.	Information on how to plan for and conduct large group time.

1. What were the strongest aspects of the training session?

2. What were the weakest aspects of the training session?

Please feel free to write any additional comments on the back of this page.

EVALUATION

Instructions: To determine whether or not the workshop met your needs and our objectives, we would like for you to give us your honest opinion on the design, presentation, and value of this session. Please circle the number that best expresses your reaction to each of the items on the following list. Space is provided at the bottom of the evaluation to add specific comments.

EVALUATION CRITERIA

1. Clarity of session goals/objectives:	Excellent 5	4	Average 3	2	Poor 1
2. Staff presentation skills:	Excellent 5	4	Average 3	2	Poor 1
3. Relevance of information:	Excellent 5	4	Average 3	2	Poor 1
4. Clarity of information:	Excellent 5	4	Average 3	2	Poor 1
5. Scope (coverage) of workshop:	Excellent 5	4	Average 3	2	Poor 1
6. Quality of materials and visuals:	Excellent 5	4	Average 3	2	Poor 1
7. Overall rating of session:	Excellent 5	4	Average 3	2	Poor 1